

Director of Central Intelligence
Security Committee
Computer Security Subcommittee

15 October 1980
DCISEC-CSS-M134

STAT 1. The one hundred and thirty-fourth meeting of the Computer Security Subcommittee was held on 2 October 1980 at [redacted] McLean, VA. The meeting was convened at 0930, and attending were:

STAT [redacted] Chairman
SIAI [redacted] Executive Secretary
STAT [redacted] CIA
STAT [redacted] CIA Alternate
STAT [redacted] CIA Alternate
SIAI [redacted] DIA Alternate
SIAI [redacted] NSA Alternate
STAT Mr. Robert Graytock, Dept. of Justice
Mr. Lynn Culkowski, Air Force
Mr. James Studer, Army
Colonel Roger Miller, Army
STAT [redacted] NSA Consultant

2. The minutes of the last meeting (24 July 1980) were reviewed and accepted as written.

STAT 3. The chairman inquired about the progress of the discussions on applications of [redacted]. It was reported that NSA had received a request from CIA to review several potential applications, and that detailed discussions were being scheduled. The chairman expressed a specific interest in any applications of [redacted] developed for the Delta Data 7260.

STAT 4. [redacted] briefed the Subcommittee on the progress of the rewrite of DCID 1/16. He discussed the rewrite team's current thinking on the structure of the technical manual, which is being patterned after a similar NSA document, NSA Manual 90-4. He then reviewed some basic definitions (i.e., outsider, trusted person, system supporter), and the generic threats (i.e., acquisition of data, deception, denial of service) upon which the technical manual is based. As an example of what the finished document will look like, he distributed a sample entry covering the scenarios for unauthorized acquisition of information. [redacted] questioned the basic approach of writing the technical manual, defining terms and establishing threat scenarios, as a first step. He felt that we should first address the basic policy statements which were necessary. There was considerable discussion of this issue and the relative merits of each approach. The arguments can be roughly summarized as follows:

(a) The rewrite should be approached in a top-down manner, with the policy questions resolved first, and the implementation details developed later.

(b) There exist aspects of the required policy which cannot be addressed and resolved until we have decided what technologies and security

environments we are dealing with. The basic differences were not resolved at this meeting, the decision being to give the membership an opportunity to review the draft of the model prior to determining the next step in the rewrite. If the presently proposed approach is approved, the next step will be to refine the wording of the model and expand it to cover other scenarios.

5. It was announced that Mr. Fred McNulty from Dept. of State will be that agency's representative to the subcommittee.

6. Mr. Studer informed the members of the scheduling of the next Army Automation Security Workshop on 8 - 9 December in Williamsburg, VA. The lectures and discussions will be unclassified.

7. The next meeting of the Subcommittee was scheduled for 0930 on 20 November at the [redacted] McLean, VA.

[redacted]
Executive Secretary